```
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                   NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI
2
   UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
                                            Cause No. 3:08CR89
3
             Plaintiff
                                            Oxford, Mississippi
                                            January 22, 2009
               v.
                                            11:30 a.m.
5
   JEFFREY ROGERS
6
             Defendant
7
8
             WAIVER OF INDICTMENT / FILING OF INFORMATION
9
                PLEA AS TO COUNT 1 OF THE INFORMATION
                 BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHAEL P. MILLS
10
                       U.S. CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE
11
   APPEARANCES:
12
   For the Government:
                            KATHLEEN J. MONAGHAN, ESQ.
13
                             U.S. Department of Justice
                             601 D. Street NW
                             5th Floor, Room 5339
14
                             Washington, DC 20004
15
                             United States Attorney's Office
                             Northern District of Mississippi
16
                             BY: ROBERT COLEMAN, ESQ.
                             900 Jefferson Avenue
17
                             Oxford, Mississippi 38655-3608
18
19
   For the Defendant:
                             CHRISTI R. MCCOY, ESQ.
                             Attorney at Law
20
                             1739 University Avenue PMB 252
                             Oxford, Mississippi 38655
21
22 Court Reporter:
                             Rita Davis Sisk, FCRR, RPR
                             911 Jackson Avenue, Room 369
23
                             Oxford, Mississippi 38865
                             (662) 416-2038
24
   Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript
25 produced by computer.
```

```
(CALL TO ORDER OF THE COURT)
2
             THE COURT: Madam Clerk, would you call the docket,
3
   please.
             THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Court calls Cause No.
5
   3:08CR89, United States of America v. Jeffrey Rogers. This a
6 waiver of the indictment, filing of information and plea to
   Count 1 of the information.
             THE COURT: All right. Who speaks for the
8
9 Government?
10
             MS. MONAGHAN: Kathleen Monaghan, along with Mr. Rob
11 Coleman.
             THE COURT: All right. And for the defense?
12
             MS. MCCOY: Christi McCoy, Your Honor, along with
13
14 Jeffrey Rogers.
             THE COURT: Are you ready to plead your client?
15
16
             MS. MCCOY: I am, Your Honor.
             THE COURT: If you would come forward, please.
17
18
        (PARTIES COMPLYING).
19
             THE COURT: Would you administer the oath.
        (OATH ADMINISTERED BY THE COURTROOM DEPUTY).
20
21
             THE COURT: All right. Is your true name Jeffrey
22 Rogers?
23
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
24
             \underline{\texttt{THE COURT:}} And I'm told that you wish to waive
25 indictment and plead guilty to the charge set forth in the
```

```
information in this case; is that correct?
2
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
             THE COURT: Has he signed the waiver, Ms. McCoy?
3
             MS. MCCOY: The waiver is right here, Your Honor.
 4
5
             THE COURT: Would you have him sign it, and I'll ask
6
   you to sign it, please.
7
             MS. MCCOY: I certainly will.
        (Parties complying.)
8
9
             THE COURT: All right. I'll ask that be filed.
10
        Mr. Rogers, before accepting your plea, there are a number
   of questions that I must ask you. If at any time you don't
11
   understand the question or you wish to consult with your
13 attorney, you simply let me know. Okay?
14
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
             {\tt THE\ COURT:} Do you understand that you are under oath
15
16 and any answers to these questions are subject to the penalties
   of perjury, that is, making a false statement under oath if you
17
   do not answer them truthfully?
19
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
20
             THE COURT: What is your full name and age?
21
             THE DEFENDANT: Jeffrey Wade Rogers, 24, sir.
22
             THE COURT: How far did you go in school?
23
             THE DEFENDANT: All the way through high school, sir.
24
             THE COURT: Are you currently under the influence of
25 any drug, medication, or alcoholic beverage of any kind?
```

```
1
             THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
2
             THE COURT: Do you think you fully understand what is
3 happening here today?
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
5
             THE COURT: Ms. McCoy, you have talked with your
   client today and earlier, I presume.
6
7
             MS. MCCOY: Yes, Your Honor.
             THE COURT: Do you have any questions about his
8
   competency to enter a plea at this time?
10
             MS. MCCOY: I do not, Your Honor.
11
             THE COURT: Does the Government have any concerns
12 regarding this defendant's competency to enter a plea?
13
             MS. MONAGHAN: No, Your Honor.
14
             THE COURT: Well, I find this defendant is competent
15 to enter a plea. Have you had ample opportunity to discuss
16 your case with your attorney?
17
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
             THE COURT: Are you satisfied with her representation
18
19 of you?
20
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
21
             THE COURT: Do you feel that she has competently
22 represented your best interests in this matter?
23
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
24
             THE COURT: Do you understand under the Constitution
25 and laws of the United States you are entitled to a trial by
```

```
jury on this charge?
2
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
3
             THE COURT: Do you understand that at trial you'd be
   presumed innocent and the Government would be required to prove
   you guilty beyond a reasonable doubt before you could be found
   guilty; and you would not be required to prove your innocence?
7
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
             THE COURT: Do you further understand that in the
8
   course of the trial witnesses for the Government would have to
   come into court and testify in your presence; your attorney
   could cross-examine the witnesses for the Government and could
11
   also call other witnesses to testify on your behalf?
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
13
             \underline{\textbf{THE COURT:}} Are you aware that if you wished to
14
   testify yourself in your own defense you would have that right
15
16
   to do so, but if you chose not to testify no inference or
   suggestion of guilt would be drawn by you so choosing?
17
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
18
19
             THE COURT: If you plead guilty here today and if I
20 accept your plea, do you understand that you are going to waive
   your right to trial and the other rights I have just discussed
   with you?
22
23
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
24
             THE COURT: That means there will not be a trial, and
2.5
  I will enter a judgement of guilty and sentence you on the
```

```
1 basis of your guilty plea after considering the pre-sentence
   report. Do you understand that?
3
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
             THE COURT: Having discussed these rights with you,
5
   is it still your desire to plead guilty to this information?
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
6
7
             THE COURT: Have you received a copy of the charge
8
   against you?
9
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
10
             THE COURT: And you understand that you're charged
   with depriving rights under color of law?
11
12
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
13
             THE COURT: I'm going to ask the U.S. Attorney to
14 read into the record the elements, please.
             MS. MONAGHAN: Yes, Your Honor. For a misdemeanor
15
16 violation of United States Code, Title 18, Section 242, the
   elements are: While the defendant was acting under color of
17
  law, he deprived. The second element is deprivation of a right
19 guaranteed by the laws or the Constitution of the United
20 States. In this case, it is the right to be free from
21 deprivation of liberty without due process. And then, also,
22
  that when the defendant deprived the victim of the due process
23 rights, that he did so willfully.
24
             THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any questions about
  this charge?
2.5
```

```
1
             THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
2
             THE COURT: Do you understand the maximum penalty you
   could be sentenced to?
3
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
5
             THE COURT: And I'm going to ask the -- I believe
   you're pleading to a misdemeanor.
6
7
        Is that correct, Ms. Monaghan?
             MS. MONAGHAN: That is correct, Your Honor.
8
9
             \underline{\textbf{THE COURT:}} So you have not more than one year
   imprisonment, $100,000 fine; one year supervised release; and a
11 \mid mandatory $25 special assessment. And those are the maximums.
   You understand that?
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
13
14
             THE COURT: Has anybody threatened you or forced you
  to plead quilty?
15
16
             THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
17
             THE COURT: Has there been a plea agreement entered
  into?
18
             MS. MONAGHAN: There has been, Your Honor.
19
20
             THE COURT: Would you state into the record the
   substance of the plea agreement, please?
22
             MS. MONAGHAN: Yes, Your Honor. According to the
23 plea agreement, the defendant's agreements are: That he plead
   guilty to Count 1 of the information charging a misdemeanor
25 violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 242.
```

```
The defendant agrees to plead quilty to a misdemeanor
   violation and entered into this plea agreement because the
  defendant knowingly committed the offense and is guilty of this
   offense.
        The defendant understands the maximum sentence that Your
6 Honor has just described. Furthermore, the defendant further
   agrees to resign from law enforcement position, to surrender,
   resign, terminate, and/or otherwise relinquish his Peace
   Officer Standards Training (or P.O.S.T.) certification and to
10 never seek future employment in law enforcement again.
        The defendant agrees that the Government reserves the
11
   right to notify P.O.S.T. of this quilty plea and to furnish
13 P.O.S.T. with a copy of the certificate of conviction and
14 judgment in this case.
        The defendant has agreed that the United States Sentencing
15
16
   Guidelines will apply to this case. And the applicable
17
   guidelines to be used begin with Section 2H1.1,
   cross-referencing to Section 2A2.2.
19
        Relevant conduct: The defendant has agreed that the
20 United States Sentencing Guidelines apply. The United States
  and the defendant understand that all uncharged related
22
   criminal activity is deemed relevant conduct for the purpose of
23
   calculating the offense level for the crime to which the
   defendant has agreed to plead guilty.
2.5
        The defendant has knowingly and voluntarily waived any
```

right to appeal or collaterally attack any matter in connection with this prosecution, the defendant's conviction or the components of the sentence to be imposed. The defendant is aware that Title 18, United States Code, 5 Section 3742 affords the defendant the right to appeal the conviction and sentence imposed; but by entering into this plea 6 agreement, the defendant knowingly waives any right to appeal his conviction or sentence imposed, which is within or below the guideline range determined by this Court. 10 Violations of this agreement: The defendant understands and agrees that in the event the defendant breaches or 11 12 otherwise violates this plea agreement or otherwise fails to 13 adhere to its terms all statements made by the defendant subsequent to the execution of this plea agreement, any testimony given by the defendant before a grand jury or other 15 16 tribunal or any leads from which such statements or testimony shall be admissible against the defendant in any and all 17 criminal proceedings. 19 The defendant specifically waives any rights which might 20 be asserted under the United States Constitution, any statute, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedures 11(F), Federal Rules of 22 Evidence 410 and any other federal rule that permits the 23 admissibility of any statement made by the defendant subsequent to this plea agreement. The parties recognize that this is the 2.5 full extent of the agreement.

```
Representation by counsel: As the defendant has already
   acknowledged, the defendant acknowledges that he's been
   represented by an attorney at all relevant times during the
  negotiation of the agreement; and that he's satisfied with the
   advice, counsel and representation he received.
6
        The Government's agreements in exchange for all of this,
   Your Honor, is to request at sentencing that the indictment
   previously filed in this case to be dismissed and not to file
  any additional charges against the defendant that are arising
   out of the acts forming the basis for the present indictment
  and to recommend a three-point reduction in the applicable
11
   offense level pursuant to the United States Sentencing
  Guideline Section 3E1.1.
13
14
             THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
        Mr. Rogers, you heard the prosecutor state her
15
16
   understanding of the agreement that you entered into with the
17
   Government. Did she accurately state it as you understand it
   to be?
18
19
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
20
             THE COURT: And has anybody made any -- has anybody
   attempted in any way to force you to plead guilty?
22
             THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
23
             THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty of your own free
   will because you are guilty?
25
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
```

7

8

```
THE COURT: And you understand that this is a
   misdemeanor that you're pleading to; and that under the plea
   agreement, which I'm going to accept, that there are also
   quidelines for judges to follow? Have you discussed the
5
   guidelines with your attorney?
        (AFTER OFF-THE-RECORD COMMENTS, THE PROCEEDING CONTINUED).
6
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
             THE COURT: And the sentencing guidelines -- I'm
   going to accept your plea agreement. If I decide not to accept
   it, I would allow you to withdraw your plea.
11
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
12
             THE COURT: So there's a limit under your plea
13
   agreement as to how much time you can get. But do you
14
   understand that there are also guidelines that we look at in
  determining how much to sentence you?
15
16
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
17
             THE COURT: Okay. And do you understand that I will
  not be able to determine the guideline sentence for your case
19
  until after the presentence report has been completed and you
20 and the Government have had an opportunity to challenge the
  reported facts and the application of the guidelines
22
   recommended by the probation officer; and the sentence to be
23
   imposed, subject to your plea agreement, could be different
   from any estimate your attorney may have given you?
25
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
```

```
THE COURT: Do you understand that parole has been
   abolished; and if you are sentenced to prison, you will not be
3
   released on parole?
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
5
             THE COURT: Do you understand that you've waived your
6
   rights to appeal under this plea agreement?
 7
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
             THE COURT: What is the Government's evidence against
8
   this defendant?
             MS. MONAGHAN: Your Honor, had this case gone to
10
   trial, the Government would have established, via competent
11
   evidence, all of the essential elements of this crime.
   would have done so by the following facts, Your Honor: On June
13
14 9th, 2007, Defendant William Rogers, then a deputy sheriff for
15 Tippah County, Mississippi, pulled over J.H. for traffic
16
  offenses, arrested him and took him to the Tippah County jail.
17
        While at the jail, J.H. was an obnoxious arrestee, cursing
   at William Rogers, Defendant Jeffrey Rogers, who was a
19 part-time deputy sheriff for Tippah County; and the other
20 officers present. The defendants became angry with J.H., took
21 him to a secure area of the jail, and placed him in an
   isolation cell.
22
23
        Once in the isolation cell, Defendants William Rogers and
   Jeffrey Rogers each tasered J.H. repeatedly, without
  justification, until J.H. lost control of his bowels. As a
2.5
```

```
result of the unjustified tasering, J.H. suffered multiple
   burns and contusions and significant physical pain.
        Immediately following the unwarranted attack, Defendant
3
   Jeffrey Rogers bragged and laughed about his and his father's
   treatment of J.H. to coworkers, especially about the fact the
   attack caused J.H. to soil himself.
6
7
        After the incident, William Rogers wrote intentionally
   misleading police reports in an effort to impede the
   investigation into his and his son's abuse of J.H. Likewise,
  Jeffrey Rogers gave an intentionally misleading statement to a
   special agent with the Federal Bureau of Investigation and
11
   wrote intentionally misleading police reports also in order to
13 derail the federal investigation into the defendant's abuse of
14 J.H.
             THE COURT: Thank you.
15
16
        Mr. Rogers, you heard the prosecutor state the evidence
   that she had to present against you on this particular charge.
17
  Did you do what she said you did?
19
             MS. MCCOY: Your Honor, there are some issues.
   think Ms. Monaghan and Mr. Farese and I have all discussed that
20
  Mr. Rogers -- there are some things that were read that he
22
   contests, as far as the procedure in which J.H. -- why he was
23
   taken to the isolation cell, how many times he was tasered by
   my client.
        Those factual differences, they don't affect the guilt
2.5
```

```
process; but they definitely would affect the sentencing
   process. And we have not agreed that he did some of those
   things that were named by Ms. Monaghan.
3
             THE COURT: Well, maybe he could tell the Court what
5
  he did do.
             MS. MCCOY: If that would be helpful, Your Honor, I
6
   would be happy to have him explain his version of the events as
  far as how they happened that evening. There's never been any
   agreement or any conversation, to my knowledge, about anything
   that happened post the incident with regard to falsifications
  or anything like that. We've never agreed to that.
11
12
             THE COURT: All right. Did you taser the gentleman
   who was in the isolation cell?
13
14
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
             THE COURT: Did you do it more than once?
15
16
             THE DEFENDANT: I believe so, sir. I had the taser
   in my hand, and we were -- he was being combative; and we were
17
   fighting with him, sir.
             THE COURT: Okay. Well, I don't know if that's
19
   sufficient, if he was being combative, Ms. Monaghan.
20
21
             MS. MONAGHAN: This is the first that I've heard of
22
   this, Your Honor. We had a small statement of facts in the
23
  plea agreement, which was actually the first paragraph of what
   I read today. Maybe, perhaps, we have time to talk to counsel?
25
             THE COURT: Well, if the subject was --
```

```
MS. MONAGHAN: In all our evidence, Your Honor, that
   was not the case.
             THE COURT: Okay. Well, maybe we should go to trial,
3
   if he's going to say that the subject was resisting. Is that
5
   what you said?
       (AFTER OFF-THE-RECORD COMMENTS, THE PROCEEDING CONTINUED)
6
             THE COURT: I'm not going to accept this plea.
7
             MS. MCCOY: No, Your Honor. Maybe I can clear up
8
   something and present it in a way -- Mr. Rogers has
10 acknowledged throughout that he tasered this defendant -- or
11 I'm sorry, he was a defendant at the time.
12
        There's never been any statement or even agreement that
   this suspect was being compliant. In fact, he fought the
13
14 entire way. There is also proof that Mr. Rogers and his
15 co-defendant, his father, William Rogers, put the suspect in
16 isolation at the direction of the sheriff.
       As far as the repeated tasering, I believe Mr. Rogers used
17
18 his taser twice. He did taser this gentleman. And there was a
19 lot of question as to whether or not it was justified. In --
20 when we were working through that and in negotiations, the
21 Department of Justice offered Mr. Rogers a misdemeanor rather
   than a felony.
22
23
       Mr. Rogers is a member of the National Guard. He is set
   to be deployed very soon. He was very interested in getting
2.5
  this resolved. Once we cleared with his supervising officers
```

```
in the National Guard that it would not affect his job there,
   it was his decision, and his decision alone, to enter a guilty
   plea. I don't --
3
             THE COURT: I'm not going to accept a plea to facts
5
   that he doesn't agree with.
6
             MS. MCCOY: Well, perhaps we could -- the statement
   of the facts that are contained in the plea agreement are
  completely different than what Ms. Monaghan just read, if that
9 makes any difference at all.
10
             THE COURT: Well, it does. Even this little
11 proceeding is a search for the truth. And I want to know what
12 happened. I don't want what someone -- one side exaggerates is
13 happening, nor do I want someone to plead guilty to something
14 when they don't feel like that's what happened. You don't
15 enter into a plea because you want to go to war.
16
             MS. MCCOY: I understand, Your Honor.
17
             THE COURT: So I'm not going to accept this. We'll
   continue this proceeding until a further time. I'll have a
19
  chance to talk to Ms. Wilkerson, and we'll see when we can
20 reset it.
21
             THE CLERK: It's actually currently set for trial on
22
  Monday.
23
             THE COURT: Okay. Well, that'll be fine because
   those other matters have settled, haven't they?
25
             THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Yes, sir.
```

```
MS. MONAGHAN: And, Your Honor, with your permission,
   if I may speak? As far as the statement of facts being
   completely different than what I just read, that's incorrect,
   Your Honor. I added, I believe, three sentences to the end of
5
   it.
             THE COURT: I don't care.
6
7
             MS. MONAGHAN: And as to going to trial on Monday, as
   I had informed the Court, I am currently before the Court in
8
  trial; and at the beginning of that trial, United States v.
  Adam McHann, I informed the Court that I was set for trial on
  Monday in Atlanta. Right now that case is still set for trial.
11
   We're trying to work it out, but there may not be --
             THE COURT: Well, you need to get with Ms. McCoy and
13
14 see if you can work out something that y'all agree on. And if
15 you do, I will continue the hearing. But I'm not going to
16 allow him to plead to something when the facts that have just
   been read into the record he says he disagrees with.
17
             MS. MONAGHAN: Yes, Your Honor, I understand that.
18
19
   And I want to inform the Court that it may put his father's
20 plea agreement in a precarious position.
21
             THE COURT: Well, that's not my concern. I don't see
22 how it's related to his father's plea agreement. I don't see
23
  any relationship. These are separate defendants.
24
             MS. MCCOY: Yes, Your Honor, they are separate
25 defendants. But the Department of Justice has basically made
```

```
1 it clear that it's an all-or-nothing deal.
2
             THE COURT: Well, they didn't make that clear to me;
3 and I'm the one who accepts the pleas. And I have accepted his
  father's plea. And I don't know that the Department of Justice
5
   can come in here and change the rules on that one.
6
        That's linkage. And the Constitution applies to us as
   individuals. We don't link criminal defendants for the
   purposes of deals. So this matter is over. Y'all can go talk;
8
   and if you work something else out, let me know.
10
             MS. MONAGHAN: Thank you.
11
             THE COURT: The Court will be in recess.
12
                  (THE HEARING ENDED AT 11:51 a.m.)
13
                      CERTIFICATION
14
        "I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from
   the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter, January
15
   29th, 2009."
16
                            /s/ Rita Davis Sisk_
                            RITA DAVIS SISK, RPR, BCR, CSR #1626
17
                            Official Court Reporter
18
19
20
21
23
24
25
```